

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/941,476	08/29/2001	John Whitman	303.254US4	6686
21186	7590 07/01/2003			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			EXAMINER KOCH, GEORGE R	
P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	15
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may grip be either; (1) at timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compiliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b]			/XO				
Examinar -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.138 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal (ecc); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.138 may only be the set for the final rejection. A proper reply to a file set of the final rejection of the final rejection. Whichever is taken. In no event, however, with the satury period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of the final rejection of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event however, with the satury period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event however, with the satury period for reply expired and the final rejection of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event however, with the satury period for expirate the final rejection of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event however, with the satury period for expire set final final date of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event final date of the final rejection of the final rejection. Whichever is taken in no event final date of the final rejection of t		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examinor George R. Koch III 1734 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 12, June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefrore, further action by the application appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 12, June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefrore, further action by the application of the property of a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Anticory (1) at timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) at timely filed Anticory (1) at timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) at timely filed Anticory (1) at timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) at timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the place of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will be statutory period for reply explicated them. (1) the mailing date of the final rejection. She MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained und 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the own with the pediction and 27 CFR 1.13(a) and 27 CFR 1.13(Advisory Action	09/941,476	WHITMAN, JOHN				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b)! a) The period for reply expires	,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the application is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance. (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCC) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.		George R. Koch III	1734				
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either. (1) a timely filed amendment with places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) or b) The period for reply expires	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
The period for reply expires	THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
the period for reply expires on: (1) the malling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, with the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the nalling date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). The period of the many be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee bears filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the file. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (5) above, if foreign the date for purposed and the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term ediplications. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they prepare additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: *The new sleps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration.* 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration. 3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. ☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: B.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISHNO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The al☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Other: RICHARD CRISENION SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration. 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5.☐ The al☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6.☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7.☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8.☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9.☐ Other: RICHARD CRISENION SUPERNISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration. 3 ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	<u> </u>						
NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic control unit requires further consideration. 3	(c) 🗌 they are not deemed to place the application in	·	erially reducing or simplifying the				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Big. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 Technology CENTER 1700							
Al. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	NOTE: The new steps and operations of the logic	c control unit requires further consid	deration.				
canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
application in condition for allowance because: 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. 9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT place the				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700		ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700 AUGUSTIN	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Claim(s) objected to:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Claim(s) rejected: 13-22, 24-29, 31-33, 35-39, 41-42, 44-48, 50-61.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
O. Other: RICHARD CRISPINO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700	9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:				
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	INER					
	S	(/Klast_1					